

WEEKLY DIGEST | 12 February 2024

Money for Nothing

**John Wyn-Evans**

Head of Investment Strategy

A couple of weeks ago I entered a new world – that of auction houses. The lure was Christie's sale of a big chunk of Dire Straits' founder and leading light Mark Knopfler's collection of guitars. I have been a big fan of Dire Straits since the 1970s, seen them in concert on several occasions and was even once involved with a feature film for which Knopfler wrote the title song and original music. I thought there was an outside chance of owning a piece of memorabilia that would also have the practical advantage of being something that I could actually play rather than just hang on a wall or lock in a safe.

The estimates in the catalogue were not outrageous (apart from the odd lot such as a rare 1959 Gibson Les Paul Custom guitar, which was in the catalogue at a range of £300k – £500k), but it quickly became apparent that the premiums over the estimates were going to be huge. Lot 1, a red Höfner electric guitar that was, apparently, the first one that his dad bought for him in the early 1960s, had an estimate of £1–£1.5k and went for the princely sum of £48k. The next three lots were all sold for solid six-figure sums against low four-figure estimates.

One of the stars of the show was the guitar that Knopfler played on the 1985 single Money for Nothing, a song that exemplified the meeting of music and video through the medium of American cable TV channel MTV. Despite a lowly estimate of £10-15k, the hammer was brought down on it at £592k (including buyer's premium).

A brand new Les Paul Custom will set you back around £5k, with reissued heritage models (such as the 1959 version) going for a bit more. What on earth possesses people to pay multiples of the actual value of some glued-together bits of wood and wires? No doubt there are some who are only in it for the money, taking the view that at some point in the future, possibly when the celebrity associated with the object passes away, it might be worth even more.

For me, the main explanation would be that one has invested in some form of 'social capital'. I recognise that this term is used in classical economics to describe a group of people coming together to work towards a common cause, but I am going to appropriate it anyway to describe the value that people will ascribe to an object or experience over and above what might be deemed to be its "intrinsic" value. For some, the idea of playing an instrument used by someone famous will be inspiring. For others, ownership will create some deep nostalgic connection with their past or with some long-lost friend or relative. Some will find their way into a new community of people with shared interests and values. And there are always those whose primary purpose will be to achieve bragging rights over those who do not have the item in their possession.

The point, though, is that the value placed on the object is wholly subjective. And, of course, people will have different perspectives on what constitutes a reasonable price dependent upon their own net worth. It is no surprise that prices of collectibles rise when financial markets are doing well. The frenzy in cryptocurrencies led to extraordinary prices being paid for non-fungible tokens, including a series of Bored Ape memes, that, to the majority, appeared to have no intrinsic value whatsoever – there was no physical form and no associated revenue stream to value.

Provenance is an important factor in valuations too. The guitars in the Knopfler auction that could be seen in videos and live concert performances attracted a bigger premium, as did the ones that he said had been played on specific songs. The ones he twiddled on his back porch went for a bit less (but still not in my price range). The fact they were being sold by him also guaranteed authenticity. Once things move into the 'second-hand' market, the trail can become harder to follow.

There are sometimes unforeseen pitfalls in the world of collecting. A buyer paid over \$500k for the football that legendary quarter-back Tom Brady threw to complete his last pass before retirement... 24 hours before Brady announced that he was coming out of retirement. At least justice was seen to be done when the sale was voided by the auction house.

A colleague of mine in New York in the 1980s told me that he had started collecting work by the artist Erté in the 1970s, convinced that there would be a pop in its value when he died. Erté finally expired in 1990 at the age of ninety-seven following a late burst of prolific production which had more than satisfied demand for his output.

We are often asked about collectible assets ranging from classic cars to fine wines and paintings. There are a few problems with treating them as mainstream assets. One of the key ones is that disconnect between the supposed intrinsic value and the purchase price. At least with a share or bond there is (usually) a stream of profits or an income-generating asset from which a price can be derived. Another is liquidity. These tend not to be the sort of things that can be sold in a hurry if required. Then there are the costs associated with purchasing and selling them. You would be impressed by the amount of time we spend negotiating with external fund managers to shave a few basis points off their management fees, but there is no room for improvement on an auction house's 'buyer's premium', which can be as high as 30%. There are often storage and insurance costs to take into account too.

One other big sticking point is the overall size of the collectibles market. First of all, there are just not enough items to go around, which could be why rarity creates a premium. This problem is being addressed at the margin by funds that deal in fractional ownership, where you could end up owning a racehorse's hoof or a square centimetre of an Old Master painting, but even then, they are just too small for large professional investors to be interested in. I'm pretty sure we could corner the market in some niche category on behalf of clients and create some attractive looking 'mark to market' capital gains, but things would not look as rosy when we tried to monetise those gains (unless we were lucky enough to encounter a 'greater fool' willing to take them off our hands at a nice price).

I realise this is all a bit of a diversion from the business-as-usual discussions of markets and geopolitics and all the things that we spend time worrying about. I'll get back onto those next week as the dust settles on the current corporate reporting season and we look at how recent resilient economic data is pushing back the date of expected interest rate cuts. I hope this piece provided some light relief.

Meanwhile, though, what price an Elton John Collector's Edition pinball machine signed by the man himself? A snip at \$10k - \$15k if the estimates are to be believed. We'll see about that on 21st February.

Question of the week:

Last week's question: The 'Hamburg Alphabet' was a forerunner of which system for encoding text?
Morse code

This week's question: What was the first name of the fictional detective Inspector Morse?

Economic Commentary

This Week's Forthcoming Events

US	Treasury Budget NSA
US	NFIB Small Business Index
US	CPI ex-Food & Energy SA M/M
US	CPI NSA Y/Y
US	Hourly Earnings SA M/M (Final)
US	Hourly Earnings Y/Y (Final)
US	Import Price Index NSA M/M
UK	CPI Core NSA Y/Y
UK	CPI EU Harmonized NSA Y/Y
UK	Industrial Production SA M/M
EU	Industrial Production WDA Y/Y
EU	Trade Balance SA

UK – It was a very quiet week for UK economic data. The data we did see suggested only that things are not quite as bad as they were. The latest Construction PMI survey ticked up from 46.8 to 48.8, and so still suggests a contraction in overall activity. The RICS House Price Balance survey also ticked up but remained in negative territory at -18% vs -29%. The good news is that the bottom is not falling out of the economy, but we remain hard-pressed to see any great recovery in the offing.

US – The latest Senior Loan Officers Opinion Survey, which reveals the attitude of US banks to offering new loans, showed a slight easing of conditions, although they remain tight by historical standards. Credit standards for commercial and industrial loans tightened at their slowest pace in seven quarters, coming in at +14 net tightening balance for large firms (from +34 before), and +19 for small firms (+30 before). Smaller banks are continuing to impose a larger tightening in standards, with the turnaround of the sharp slowdown in demand for credit being only marginal so far. Overall, it suggests that the downside risks from restrictive bank credit trends are easing. The resilience of activity in the face of very tight bank lending in recent quarters has been a surprising feature of the US economy. Several factors could have helped decouple the economy from the bank credit cycle so far. These include the continued run-off of excess savings that's reduced the need to access tight credit, along with the slow pass-through of higher interest costs to the real economy.

Europe – Is Germany turning a corner? German factory orders delivered a positive surprise, unexpectedly increasing on both a monthly and annual basis. The 8.9% month-on-month increase in December came in well above consensus estimates of a 0.2% month-on-month decline. This translated to a 2.7% year-on-year rise, which exceeded anticipations of a 5.3% year-on-year drop. However, the details of the report suggest that the headline figures overstate the strength. A sharp increase in large-scale orders was responsible for the jump in aggregate orders, including a surge in orders for aircraft. After excluding large-scale orders, new orders fell 2.2% month-on-month in December. Nevertheless, other data suggest that the Eurozone industrial cycle is experiencing a mini upturn. The manufacturing PMIs of both Germany, as well as the Eurozone, are recovering. The services sector could follow behind. However, this is balanced more negatively by weak capex intentions and the lagged impact of restrictive monetary policy. So not out of the woods yet, then.

China – China continues to see overall prices going down. The Consumer Price Index fell 0.8% year-on-year in January and Producer Prices fell 2.5%. Falling food prices (after extremely high prices last year) are a positive factor as they increase consumers' buying power for other items, but the overall deflationary trend still speaks to a weak underlying economy with little consumer confidence. The government continues to offer only a piecemeal recovery strategy, but there was some evidence of success in that total social financing came in at CNY6.5tn in January, beating consensus expectations of CNY5.6tn. This translates into a solid improvement in China's credit impulse, with the year-on-year change in new borrowing rising to a nine-month high of 1% of GDP, versus -1.7% previously. Data will be messy to interpret for the next few weeks, owing to Lunar New Year disruption.

Economic Commentary

FTSE 100 weekly winners

DS Smith Plc	15.0%
Smurfit Kappa Group PLC	11.0%
Compass Group PLC	4.5%
Hikma Pharmaceuticals Plc	4.3%
BP p.l.c.	4.3%
Ferguson Plc	4.1%
Scottish Mortgage Investment Trust Plc	3.9%

FTSE 100 weekly losers

Vodafone Group Plc	-8.2%
Barratt Developments PLC	-8.0%
JD Sports Fashion Plc	-8.0%
Glencore plc	-7.8%
Legal & General Group Plc	-7.8%
Anglo American plc	-7.2%
J Sainsbury plc	-7.0%

FTSE 100 index, past 12 months



EuroStoxx 600 index, past 12 months



S&P 500 index, past 12 months



All data shown in GBP.

The information in this document is for private circulation and is believed to be correct but cannot be guaranteed. Opinions, interpretations and conclusions represent our judgement as of this date and are subject to change. The Company and its related Companies, directors, employees and clients may have position or engage in transactions in any of the securities mentioned. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. The value of shares, and the income derived from them, may fall as well as rise. The information contained in this publication does not constitute a personal recommendation and the investment or investment services referred to may not be suitable for all investors; therefore we strongly recommend you consult your Professional Adviser before taking any action. All references to taxation are based on current levels and practices which may be subject to change. The value of any tax benefits will be dependent on individual circumstances.

investecwin.co.uk

Investec Wealth & Investment (UK) is a trading name of Investec Wealth & Investment Limited which is a subsidiary of Rathbones Group Plc. Investec Wealth & Investment Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and is registered in England. Registered No. 2122340. Registered Office: 30 Gresham Street. London. EC2V 7QN. Member firm of the London Stock Exchange.