

WEEKLY DIGEST | 31 October 2023

# There Is A Great Gulf Fixed

**John Wyn-Evans**

Head of Investment Strategy

At my school there was an annual essay competition to which it was mandatory to submit an entry. We were given the title (as above) and free rein to express our views. I had no idea at the time that the “great gulf fixed” was a biblical reference and wrote something about the conflict between Formula 1 drivers who were having to race in dangerous conditions, and the managers of the sport who were more interested in making money. Not bad, I thought, for a fifteen-year-old. I didn’t win. But it was reasonably prescient, as seen in many of the safety measures now in place to protect drivers, even if it often required tragic incidents to trigger the changes.

Were I given the same essay topic today, I would no doubt choose to write something investment related. The greatest gulf today could be the performance gap between the much vaunted "Magnificent Seven" US companies and most of the rest. One way of looking at this is through the lens of the S&P 500 market capitalisation-weighted index (SPX) and its equal-weighted equivalent (SPW). Those seven companies (Apple, Microsoft, Amazon, Alphabet, Nvidia, Tesla and Meta) currently account for around a quarter of the SPX but would only add up to 1.4% of the SPW, where stock number 500 carries the same weight as the first in the SPX. In this case Alaska Air (\$4 billion) is as important as Apple (\$2.66 trillion).

So far this year, there is a 13 percentage point (pp) performance gap in favour of the SPX over the SPW. This is the largest gap ever recorded and has made it very difficult for active fund managers to perform in line with equity benchmarks, mainly because most of them have limits on how much they can hold in a single stock. The last time that the gap was anywhere near this big in favour of SPX was in 1998 when it was 11pp. We were in the foothills of a Tech Boom then, spurred on by rate cuts in the final quarter, but there was also a strong desire to hold big global brand name companies. All of those relative gains were given back and more during the ensuing Tech Bust and recession from 2000 to 2003.

Investing in larger companies didn't deliver any great safe haven benefits during the financial crisis either, largely owing to the fact that financial companies were amongst the heavyweights. Bigger companies also turned out to be an easier source of liquidity when needed. Furthermore, Tech was still deemed to be a risky cyclical sector, having not acquired the platform status that has turned some of its constituents into seemingly safer investment propositions (although I would argue that there is no company on earth that is entirely recession-proof).

In 2009, the SPX underperformed the SPW by a massive 18pp. This was in the immediate recovery from the financial crisis when companies that had been on the brink of possible extinction rallied back to life. This trend followed through into 2010 with another 5pp of underperformance.

The next decade was relatively dull in terms of relative performance, and it was only during Covid that we saw another big gap opening up when SPX outperformed SPW by 8pp. This reflected the defensive nature of mega-cap Tech during the lockdowns as well as the valuation boost delivered by aggressively loose monetary policy.

And this year? If you had told everyone where real bond yields were going to end up by now, having risen by around 100 basis points, then the majority would have told you that the longer-duration "Magnificent Seven" would struggle to perform. So much for that expectation. The frenzy around Artificial Intelligence (AI), especially, has helped to turbo-charge performance in stocks that have continued to display decent underlying momentum. The continuing flow of money into index funds has also been a tailwind.

But some cracks have started to appear during the current third-quarter results season. Alphabet (parent of Google), Meta (Facebook as was) and Tesla have all fallen back. Microsoft and Amazon fared better. Apple reports later this week. Nvidia is a month later in its reporting cycle.

Can these shares continue to dominate markets in the future? History suggests not. Looking back at the Top 10 biggest stocks in the world over recent decades, 1980 was dominated by Oil companies (6/10); 1990 by Japanese banks (6/10); 2000 by Tech and Telecom stocks (7/10); 2010 by resource companies (5/10) on the back of China's boom; and 2020 again by Tech (all the Top 7).

Only one company made it into the Top 10 all of those decades, and that was Exxon (which added the Mobil element in 1999. It is still acquiring assets and agreed to buy Pioneer Natural Resources a few weeks ago for around \$60bn). That's a red rag to an ESG investor bull if there ever was one. More recently it is Microsoft that has shown the greatest resilience and it's not a stock we would want to bet against given its track record, embedded strengths and AI exposure.

But markets are cyclical by nature. If a small group of large companies is showing the rest of the market a clean pair of heels, then there is a large group of small companies that are under the cosh. The Russell 2000 Index of smaller US companies has effectively gone nowhere in absolute terms in the five and a half years since it reached its current level for the first time. It has given up all the gains it made from its relative trough against the S&P 500 at the peak of the Tech boom in 2000. Here in the UK, the daily email I receive detailing notable share price movements is littered with small cap investment trusts hitting new 52-week lows. Not only are the underlying share prices of the constituents of the funds going down, but discounts to net asset value continue to widen.

Somewhere in this relative performance gap lies opportunity, although not necessarily today. Smaller companies are more at risk from the economic cycle. They tend to have to pay a lot more for their debt than larger companies, and that's unhelpful in a rising interest rate environment. And funds to borrow might not be so easy to access if banks continue to rein in their appetite for lending.

The last specific catalyst for small cap outperformance was "Vaccine Day" in 2020. That was a rare specific catalyst and there is nothing so identifiable today. It is more probable that it will be a change in central bank monetary policy towards loosening that finally changes the mood. That will require at least one of the following things to happen: a meaningful and persistent inflation undershoot; a sharp rise in unemployment and associated economic weakness; or some sort of financial accident.

Until that happens, central banks are likely to keep up the "hair shirt" appearance because the last thing they want is another bout of financial market speculation driving animal spirits into overdrive. But when they do decide to change tack, the speed and scale of interest rate cuts could be as surprising on the way down as it was on the way up.

Another question is what happens to the yield curve because that will also define relative performance. It is quite possible, even probable, that short rates will fall faster than long rates. It would be unusual for the yield curve to become more inverted at that point in the cycle. And markets also have to soak up the growing supply of government bonds to finance still large fiscal deficits and rising interest bills. That "bull steepening" should favour the small caps over their larger brethren.

And as for the conclusion to today's essay? Maybe that gulf is not as fixed as it sometimes appears to be.

**Last week's question:** What event was investigated by the Warren Commission?  
The assassination of JFK

**This week's question:** What was F. Scott Fitzgerald's first name?

# Economic Commentary

---

## This Week's Forthcoming Events

US	Consumer Confidence
US	FHFA Home Price Index
US	ADP Employment Survey SA
US	Markit PMI Manufacturing SA (Final)
US	Construction Spending SA M/M
US	Unit Labor Costs SAAR Q/Q (Preliminary)
UK	BoE Mortgage Approvals SA (Number)
UK	CIPS Manufacturing PMI SA (Final)
UK	BoE Official Bank Rate
EU	Business Climate
EU	Consumer Confidence Indicator (Final)
EU	CPI EU Harmonized Y/Y (Flash)

**UK** – Bank lending remains under pressure. September mortgage data showed a net repayment of £0.9bn as new borrowers baulked at higher interest rates. Forward mortgage approvals fell again from 45,447 to 43,238. That's the lowest ex-pandemic number since January 2011. The average interest rate on new mortgages rose from 4.82% to 5.01%. Consumer credit growth fell back from £1.7bn to £1.4bn. Several UK banks reported a disappointing outlook for net interest margins last week as they continue to compete for deposits in a rising interest rate environment. Household deposits fell by £0.7bn in September, while National Savings & Investments took in £7.7bn thanks to higher deposit rates.

**US** – Q3 GDP of 4.9% beat even such a high forecast of +4.5% and was the fastest rate of growth for almost two years. Consumer spending growth of 4% rebounded strongly from +0.8% in Q2, although might now be a function of a weaker savings rate and possibly not sustainable at such a rate. Annualised government spending growth of 4.6% was also very strong. Private non-residential investment was flat, which was a bit of a surprise given all the talk about the reshoring of manufacturing capacity. Core PCE inflation remains on an encouraging trend lower at 3.7%, but still ahead of the Fed's 2% target. This will keep the Fed "on message" in terms of "higher for longer" interest rates.

**Europe** – It is also encouraging to see inflation continuing to fall in Europe, with pleasing data from Spain and many of Germany's regions. Even so, the ECB held rates steady at 4% as inflation is not yet close to the 2% target. The latest PMI survey revealed that the overall economy remains weak, possibly in recession. The headline composite index fell by 0.7 to 46.5. The new orders reading at 43.7 was the lowest since 2009 (ex-Covid), driven primarily by a further fall in services. The outlook for bank lending is weak according to the ECB's Q3 Bank Lending Survey. It showed banks reining in lending intentions and an equally low demand for new loans.

**China** – President Xi announced additional fiscal support for the economy to the tune of \$137bn to be raised through the issuance of sovereign debt. That represented an unusual mid-year shift in the budgeted deficit from the targeted 3% to 3.8%. This demonstrates further intent to draw a line under recent economic underperformance. Meanwhile, industrial profits continued to stabilise, they are now down 9% year-on-year over the first nine months versus a decline of 11.7% at the end of August.

# Economic Commentary

---

## FTSE 100 weekly winners

Rio Tinto plc	5.5%
Croda International Plc	5.0%
DS Smith Plc	4.7%
Severn Trent Plc	4.3%
BHP Group Ltd	4.1%
Taylor Wimpey plc	4.0%
Barratt Developments PLC	3.8%

## FTSE 100 weekly losers

NatWest Group Plc	-16.7%
Standard Chartered PLC	-15.5%
Barclays PLC	-10.8%
Experian PLC	-9.5%
Rentokil Initial plc	-9.3%
Reckitt Benckiser Group plc	-8.2%
Just Eat Takeaway.com N.V.	-7.2%

## FTSE 100 index, past 12 months



## EuroStoxx 600 index, past 12 months



## S&P 500 index, past 12 months



All data shown in GBP.

---

The information in this document is for private circulation and is believed to be correct but cannot be guaranteed. Opinions, interpretations and conclusions represent our judgement as of this date and are subject to change. The Company and its related Companies, directors, employees and clients may have position or engage in transactions in any of the securities mentioned. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. The value of shares, and the income derived from them, may fall as well as rise. The information contained in this publication does not constitute a personal recommendation and the investment or investment services referred to may not be suitable for all investors; therefore we strongly recommend you consult your Professional Adviser before taking any action. All references to taxation are based on current levels and practices which may be subject to change. The value of any tax benefits will be dependent on individual circumstances.

[investecwin.co.uk](http://investecwin.co.uk)

Investec Wealth & Investment (UK) is a trading name of Investec Wealth & Investment Limited which is a subsidiary of Rathbones Group Plc. Investec Wealth & Investment Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and is registered in England. Registered No. 2122340. Registered Office: 30 Gresham Street. London. EC2V 7QN. Member firm of the London Stock Exchange.