

# Ten Years On



**John Wyn-Evans**  
Head of Investment Strategy

My first Weekly Digest was published on 23 September 2013, and, according to a very quick and dirty count, this is edition number 409.

Inflation is everywhere – not least in my word count. Owing to the restrictions of the existing format, I started out at around eight hundred words, although now regularly run to twice that amount. Maybe there is something to be said for physical constraint rather than the unbounded scope of electronic publishing,



although there does seem to be an awful lot more stuff to comment on these days. I see that I mentioned having bought my first flat in London in 1984 for the princely sum of £32,000 and that it was deemed to be worth around £500,000 by 2013. Roll on another decade and a quick internet search suggests more like £800,000.

I limited my first investment-related comments to some very broad views on future returns. My initial observation was that conventional bonds offered very little potential return. The judgement on that outlook could go either way. As yields continued to surprise to the downside initially, falling from 3% for the 10-year Gilt to as low as 0.07% in 2020, there were some decent capital gains to be made, especially by holding longer duration bonds. For example, had one bought the 4.5% issue maturing in 2042 at £120, one would have seen it rise to a peak of £183 (and clipped a decent coupon). Now however, it trades at £97. The total return over a decade is 15.2%, or an annual equivalent of 1.43%. That's hardly going to have kept the wolf from the door, especially when adjusted for inflation. The UK Retail Price Index is up 48.5% over the period, or 4.1% annualised. Leaving market timing aside, I'll give myself a tick for that call.

Countering that in a balanced portfolio setting was my belief that equities were the favoured asset class for long-term returns. I tentatively entered a few equity indices into the securities field on my Bloomberg this morning, hoping for a decent outcome. Actually, I knew things would be OK at the global level because of the performance of US equities, but I was less confident about the rest of the world. And so, what did I find?

Let's start at the bottom of the pile. The FTSE 100 Index has managed a capital return of just 19%. However, thanks to being a strong dividend payer, the total return is 72%, or an annualised 5.7%, handily keeping its head above the inflationary water, at least over a decade, which has to be the sort of time frame one considers to take short term volatility into account.

Second worst is the MSCI Emerging Markets (EM) Index, with a total return of 75% (5.8% annualised) when converted into sterling. This will have come as a great disappointment to many who expected the strong economic growth of EM to provide equally strong returns. Then again, it depends where you look within EM. There were strong performances from the likes of India (+14% ann) and Taiwan (+13% ann), but China (+4.5% ann), Hong Kong (+3.5%ann), South Korea (+5% ann), South Africa (+2.7% ann) and Brazil (+2.5% ann) were lacklustre (all returns translated into sterling). This certainly confirms my opinion that a broad EM definition is highly misleading and does not serve investors well, although it might afford EM fund managers ample opportunity to outperform their benchmark if they are willing to take some active risk.

Another observation about Emerging Markets concerns that disconnect between GDP growth and stock market performance. While there are no doubt shorter term shifts in performance based on rerating and derating, which can be caused by several diverse factors, in the long term we believe that returns are best delivered by companies that generate sustainable excess returns over their cost of capital. It would appear that capital allocation is not always optimal in some EMs, and neither are the incentives of managers and shareholders aligned. Add in, for example, government interference in countries such as China and several in South America, and the case for active management is strong.

The MSCI Europe Index has returned 105% (7.5% ann), but even within that France (+9.3% ann) has shown Germany (+6.5% ann) a clean pair of heels. Luxury Goods seem to have more allure than metal bashers. And if you don't think that a near 3% performance difference adds up to much, the magic of compounding means that France is +144% in total return terms versus Germany, which is up just 88%. Still, considering that the eurozone crisis was still fresh in everyone's mind and that there were grave doubts about the sustainability of the whole European project, those returns are very much in line with long-term expectations, which is not a bad achievement.

Unsurprisingly, among the major developed markets it's the United States that has triumphed. The S&P 500 Index has more than trebled in capital terms over the last decade, with total returns of 309% (15.1% annualised). That is way ahead of any long-term average and ahead of what was generally expected. No doubt a lot of those gains were driven by mega cap platform technology companies, although even the equal-weighted version of the index delivered annualised returns of 13.4% (there is some exchange rate flattery here, with the US dollar returns being 12.2% and 10.5% respectively – but still exceptional).

This is where I decided to dig out some old Long Term Capital Market Assumptions (CMAs). I have used the CMAs from a leading global asset manager that are available on the internet. These documents are a key tool in setting out long-term return expectations. They drive Strategic Asset Allocation benchmarks and provide information to clients as to how much risk they might need to take in the pursuit of adequate returns. Our experience is that everyone's CMAs look pretty much the same because they tend to use a similar methodology, not least some sort of mean-reversion of valuations, trend growth or inflation.

If we look at the set of CMAs I went for, its projections for ten-year total returns had Emerging Markets coming out on top (+9.75% ann), falling into that growth expectations trap that I referred to earlier. Everything else started with a seven. It's clear that they underestimated the power of a group of US companies to achieve an extraordinary combination of profitable growth and sustainable free cash flow. There is obviously some scepticism that this can be maintained, but we find it hard to bet strongly against the US in the long term.

The nature of such studies tends to throw out returns expectations that are built on academic theory. Thus, they arrived at projections of 5.75% for Commodities, which (using the Bloomberg Spot Commodity Index) only ended up achieving 2.4%. There again, nobody forecast the Chinese currency devaluation in 2015, an OPEC-led attempt to put US shale oil producers out of business by crashing the price, or Covid.

Perhaps the most interesting projections miss is on currencies. I have long argued that nobody has an "edge" in foreign exchange, and it has proved impossible to find a fund to invest in that displays persistent alpha generating capabilities in the asset class and therefore be something we could use as a risk diversifier. None of the CMA projections suggested more than a few percent moves either way across various major currencies over the next decade, and I would suggest that there is a lot of anchoring to present exchange rates when it comes to currency forecasting. The most spectacular miss has been on the dollar/yen which they pitched at ¥75. The Yen has effectively lost half of its value against the dollar to a current ¥147. Of course, monetary policy and the introduction of Yield Curve Control by the Bank of Japan in 2016 played its part. That was another unforeseen factor.

I make these observations with no intention of criticising the original projections. It proves to me that, even on a ten-year view, investment returns can deviate from long term trends, and that events will occur, and policies will be implemented that were not remotely considered. Thus, we have to remain flexible in our thinking and in our investment approach (while sticking to core investment principles in our process); and remain patient when returns do not accumulate as fast as we would like them to. I think I can say with some certainty that plenty of things will take us by surprise over the next decade too. Whether I'll be heading towards the thousandth Weekly Digest by then remains to be seen.

**Question of the week:**

**Last week's question:** The title character in the novel Robinson Crusoe is believed to be based on which real-life person?

Alexander Selkirk

**This week's question:** Which Liberal Party leader represented the constituency of Roxburgh, Selkirk and Peebles between 1965 and 1983?

# Economic Commentary

## This Week's Forthcoming Events

US	NAHB Housing Market Index SA
US	Housing Starts SAAR
US	FOMC Meeting
US	Fed Funds Target Upper Bound
US	Current Account SA
UK	CPI Core NSA Y/Y
UK	CPI EU Harmonized NSA Y/Y
UK	ONS House Price NSA Y/Y
EU	CPI EU Harmonized Y/Y (Final)
EU	Markit PMI Composite SA (Preliminary)
EU	Consumer Confidence Indicator (Flash)
EU	Markit PMI Services SA (Preliminary)

**UK** – The report for the UK labour market for July fitted into the mould of recent releases, reiterating that a gradual loss of momentum is underway. In the three months to July, employment fell by 207k, unemployment rose by 159k and the unemployment rate ticked up by 0.1%, from the previous release to 4.3%. This was much as the consensus had envisaged. In keeping with the previous trend was the further decline in vacancies (down in each of the previous 14 reports). Strong wage growth could be seen as a fly in the ointment: total average weekly earnings growth moved from an upward revised 8.4% (initially reported to be 0.2% lower than that) to 8.5%, well above the consensus forecast of 8.2%. Welcome though that might be for the individuals receiving those wage gains, these rates remain far too high relative to productivity growth for the aggregate economy, stoking fears that this could add materially to costs and thereby prolong the inflation shock. Digging deeper though, these concerns may be less applicable in this case. The main driver of this jump was the one-off payments made to the NHS and Civil Service in June and July; excluding bonuses, whole-economy wage growth was steady at 7.8% and the private-sector regular (ex-bonus) pay measure favoured by the Bank of England (BoE) saw a small dip from 8.2% to 8.1%. The BoE is expected to raise the base rate by 0.25% this week to 5.5, but we should be close to the peak now.

**US** – The latest CPI inflation reading was expected to rise again owing to base effects and rising energy prices. The headline measure rose to 3.7% year-on-year, broadly in line with consensus and higher than both the 3.2% reported in July and the 3.0% in June. This increase was driven by a 10.5% monthly rise in

energy commodity prices including fuel oil. Excluding food and energy and looking at the core print, the Fed's progress on inflation is clearer, with the core measure dropping by 0.4% to 4.3%, despite the oil effect appearing within this too (air fares were up 4.9% month-on-month). But there were points of optimism from a lower inflation perspective. For example the monthly growth rate in shelter prices, which has the largest weighting in the core CPI basket, moderated once more, to +0.3% on the month, the slowest monthly pace of growth since the start of 2022. Meanwhile used car prices declined once again, by 1.2% month-on-month. There was certainly nothing in this release to force the Fed's hand vis-à-vis interest rate policy this week, with expectations settled that it will stand pat for now while keeping its options open.

**Europe** – The ECB lifted its key interest rates by 0.25%, meaning that the Deposit rate now stands at 4.00%. Ahead of the decision, consensus had been pretty evenly split between no change and a 0.25% increase. Underlying the announcement was the continued assessment that inflation was expected to remain too high for too long, as framed by the updated headline inflation forecasts, which saw upgrades to 2023 and 2024, although 2025 was revised down slightly and now sits just above target at 2.1%. Core inflation projections were lowered slightly and growth forecasts significantly. However, whilst the ECB's future policy decisions remain data dependent, the policy statement did hint at the possibility that this is the peak in rates, adding in the line that "based on its current assessment, the Governing Council considers that the key ECB interest rates have reached levels that, maintained for a sufficiently long duration, will make a substantial contribution to the timely return of inflation to the target". In market parlance, this goes down as a "dovish hike".

**China** – The latest Chinese economic data surprised to the upside, helping to lift some of the gloom about the country's prospects. Industrial production in August, helped by robust car production, was 4.5% higher than a year earlier, up from +3.7% in July, beating consensus estimates of +3.9%. On the consumer side, retail sales were also relatively buoyant in August, rising on the year by 4.6% against growth in July of 2.5%, also above market forecasts of 3.0%. Less surprisingly, fixed asset investment continued to languish with annual growth year-to-date of 3.2%, slightly softer than July's 3.4%. August's equivalent figure for property investment was a decline of 8.8%. Real Estate looks set to continue to be the biggest drag on the economy as it works off excess leverage, but there are signs that consumers and manufacturers are beginning to gain a little more confidence.

# Economic Commentary

## FTSE 100 weekly winners

AVEVA Group plc	700.0%
Anglo American plc	13.8%
Just Eat Takeaway.com N.V.	10.8%
Rio Tinto plc	9.4%
Vodafone Group Plc	9.0%
Barclays PLC	8.9%
Aviva plc	8.5%

## FTSE 100 weekly losers

Ocado Group PLC	-7.6%
Croda International Plc	-4.5%
Smurfit Kappa Group PLC	-3.7%
Entain PLC	-1.7%
Halma plc	-1.4%
Diageo plc	-1.2%
Hikma Pharmaceuticals Plc	-1.1%

## FTSE 100 index, past 12 months



## EuroStoxx 600 index, past 12 months



## S&P 500 index, past 12 months



All data shown in GBP.

---

The information in this document is for private circulation and is believed to be correct but cannot be guaranteed. Opinions, interpretations and conclusions represent our judgement as of this date and are subject to change. The Company and its related Companies, directors, employees and clients may have position or engage in transactions in any of the securities mentioned. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. The value of shares, and the income derived from them, may fall as well as rise. The information contained in this publication does not constitute a personal recommendation and the investment or investment services referred to may not be suitable for all investors; therefore we strongly recommend you consult your Professional Adviser before taking any action. All references to taxation are based on current levels and practices which may be subject to change. The value of any tax benefits will be dependent on individual circumstances.

[investecwin.co.uk](http://investecwin.co.uk)

Member firm of the London Stock Exchange.  
Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.  
Investec Wealth & Investment Limited is registered in England.  
Registered No. 2122340. Registered Office: 30 Gresham Street, London, EC2V 7QN.

